

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.

PCT/US05/02317

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER

IPC(8): A61K 9/14(2006.01);G03C 1/73(2006.01)

USPC: 424/487;430/345

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

U.S. : 424/487; 430/345

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used)
Please See Continuation Sheet

C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	US 6589452 B2 (ASHER et al) 8 July 2003, see entire document, especially, abstract, column 5, lines 21-27, column 6, lines 28-32, paragraph bridging columns 6 and 7, column 7, lines 3-12, and 60-64, and claims 4, 9, 17, 24, 30, 39, and 45.	1-7, 14-17, 19-21
---		8, 22
Y		
X	Database DISSABS on STN, Accession No. 2004:43652, DONNELLY, L., 'Synthesis and characterization of novel polymeric drug delivery systems', abstract, Dissertation Abstracts, 2003.	1, 7, 17, 19, 20
---		8, 18, 22
Y		
Y	US 6040295 (ROLLAND et al) 21 March 2000, see abstract and column 6, lines 10-19.	18

☐ Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C.

☐ See patent family annex.

* Special categories of cited documents:

"A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance

"E" earlier application or patent published on or after the international filing date

"L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)

"O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means

"P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

"T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention

"X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone

"Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art

"&" document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search

13 September 2006 (13.09.2006)

Date of mailing of the international search report

25 OCT 2006

Name and mailing address of the ISA/US

Mail Stop PCT, Attn: ISA/US
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

Facsimile No. (571) 273-3201

Authorized officer

Richard Schnizer, Ph.D.

Telephone No. 571-272-0500

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.

PCT/US05/02317

Box No. II Observations where certain claims were found unsearchable (Continuation of item 2 of first sheet)

This international search report has not been established in respect of certain claims under Article 17(2)(a) for the following reasons:

1. ☐ Claims Nos.:
because they relate to subject matter not required to be searched by this Authority, namely:
2. ☒ Claims Nos.: 9-11
because they relate to parts of the international application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to such an extent that no meaningful international search can be carried out, specifically:
Claims 9-11 depend from claim 7 and recite variables such as X, R2, and R1 which have no antecedent basis in claim 7.
3. ☒ Claims Nos.: 23-35
because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a).

Box No. III Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of item 3 of first sheet)This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows:
Please See Continuation Sheet

1. ☐ As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers all searchable claims.
 2. ☐ As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying additional fees, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fees.
 3. ☐ As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:
 4. ☒ No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international search report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.: 1-8 and 14-22
- Remark on Protest ☐ The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest and, where applicable, the payment of a protest fee.
- ☐ The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest but the applicable protest fee was not paid within the time limit specified in the invitation.
- ☐ No protest accompanied the payment of additional search fees.

BOX III. OBSERVATIONS WHERE UNITY OF INVENTION IS LACKING

This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In order for more than one species to be examined, the appropriate additional examination fees must be paid. The species are as follows:

Formulas I and II embrace hundreds of thousands of different structures, but the specification at page 24 indicates that these structures are not novel, thus there can be no special technical feature linking them, and no unity of invention.

The claims are deemed to correspond to the species listed above in the following manner:

Claims 7-11 correspond to the vast majority of the structures, i.e. structures of Formula I, and claims 12 and 13 correspond to Formula II

The following claim(s) are generic: 1-6 and 14-22.

The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: The specification at page 24 indicates that the spiropyrans of formulas I and II were known in the prior art, as such they do not constitute a contribution over the prior art and so lack unity of invention. Note also that Asher et al (US Patent 6,589,452) taught compositions comprising a hydrogel polymerized with a spiropyrans (see column 7, lines 60-64).

Continuation of B. FIELDS SEARCHED Item 3:

WEST, all databases,

STN, medline, caplus embase biosis biotechds scisearch , biosci cluster